

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,873	BAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725	

All Participants:

(1) Kuang Y. Lin.

(2) Mr. Calderon.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

5-15 and 21

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Thomes and Mezger

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is the opinion of the examiner that in claim 16, last line, before "straight", the word "vertical" shall be inserted to define the claimed mold structure over the prior art reference. Also, claims 5-15 and 21 shall be deleted since the claimed limitation does not define over the prior art references..